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Pleural Mesothelioma Instigates Tumor-Associated Fibroblasts To Promote Progression via a Malignant Cytokine Network
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The tumor microenvironment is crucial to the progression of various malignancies. Malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM), which originates from the pleura, grows aggressively in the thoracic cavity. Here we describe an orthotopic implantation SCID mouse model of MPM and demonstrate that -SMA-positive fibroblast-like cells accumulate in the tumors produced by the human MPM cell lines MSTO-211H and Y-Meso-14. We assessed the interaction between MPM cells and their microenvironments, focusing on tumor-associated fibroblasts. MSTO-211H and Y-Meso-14 cells produced fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF-2) and/or platelet-derived growth factor-AA (PDGF-AA); they also enhanced growth, migration, and production of hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) by human lung fibroblast MRC-5 cells. MRC-5 cells stimulated HGF-mediated growth and migration of MSTO-211H and Y-Meso-14 cells in an in vitro coculture system. In the orthotopic model, tumor formation by MSTO-211H and Y-Meso-14 cells was significantly inhibited by TSU-68, an inhibitor of FGF, VEGF, and PDGF receptors; imatinib, an inhibitor of PDGF receptors; and NK1, an antagonist of HGF. Histological analyses of clinical specimens from 51 MPM patients revealed considerable tumor-associated fibroblasts infiltration and expression of HGF, together with FGF-2 or PDGF-AA, in tumors. These findings indicate that MPM instigates tumor-associated fibroblasts, promoting tumor progression via a malignant cytokine network. Regulation of this cytokine network may be therapeutically useful for controlling MPM. (Am J Pathol 2011, 179:1483–1493; DOI: 10.1016/j.ajpath.2011.05.060)

Malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM) is a unique form of tumor, the development of which is highly related to asbestos exposure.1 Even after bans on asbestos were initiated in the 1970s, MPM remains a serious problem worldwide because of its long latency period (30 to 40 years) and high mortality rate. In the United States, 2000 to 3000 patients die of MPM every year. Deaths from this disease are expected to peak in 2020 to 2025, with more than 250,000 deaths expected to occur in Western Europe and Japan over the next 40 years.2 MPM grows aggressively, with dissemination in the thoracic cavity, and frequently produces a malignant pleural effusion.3 MPM is rarely diagnosed at an operable stage, and it is refractory to
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conventional chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Thus, the prognosis of patients with this disease is extremely poor, with median survival varying between 8 and 14 months after diagnosis, despite the recent development of a chemotherapy regimen combining cisplatin and an antifolate agent such as pemtrexed or raltitrexed.\(^4\)

The tumor microenvironment is crucial for the progression and chemosensitivity of various malignant diseases.\(^5\) For example, the tumor microenvironment mediates endocrine instigation of indolent metastatic tumor progression via osteopontin.\(^6\) Moreover, EGFR-TKI resistance may be induced by microenvironmental fibroblasts in epidermal growth factor receptor mutant lung cancer.\(^7\) Thus, innovative therapies may target the microenvironment. For example, antiangiogenic therapy targeting host endothelial cells and bisphosphonate targeting host osteoclasts have been successfully used to treat several malignant diseases, including colon cancer,\(^8\) non-small cell lung cancer,\(^9,10\) and metastatic bone tumors.\(^11\)

In MPM, angiogenesis inhibition using an anti-VEGF antibody targeting endothelial cells can successfully control the progression of MPM cells that produce high concentrations of VEGF.\(^12\) Tumor-associated fibroblasts (TAFs), also known as cancer-associated fibroblasts, are the major component of tumor microenvironments.\(^13\) TAFs regulate tumor behavior through several mediators. Although recent studies show that many populations of MPM contain TAFs,\(^14\) little is known about interactions between TAFs and MPM. We therefore investigated the molecular interaction between MPM and TAFs, using an orthotopic implantation SCID mice model and clinical specimens taken from MPM patients. We show here that MPMs produce fibroblast-growth factor 2 (FGF-2) and platelet-derived growth factor-AA (PDGF-AA), and that these growth factors stimulate TAFs to produce hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), thus promoting tumor progression through a malignant cytokine network.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell Lines and Reagents**

We used the human MPM cell lines MSTO-211H, EHMES-10, and Y-Meso-14, established from patients with biphasic type MPM. MSTO-211H cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA). EHMES-10 were kindly provided by Dr. Hironobu Hamada (Ehime University, Ehime, Japan) and Y-Meso-14 cells by Dr. Yoshitaka Sekido (Aichi Cancer Center Research Institute, Nagoya, Japan). Cells were cultured in modified Eagle’s medium or in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) and gentamicin at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO\(_2\) in air. Heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) and gentamicin (Kurabo, Osaka, Japan). Cells were cultured in modified Eagle’s medium or in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) and gentamicin at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO\(_2\) in air. The human embryonic lung fibroblast cell line MRC-5 and the mouse fibroblast cell line 3T3-Swiss were purchased from ATCC. MRC-5 (P 30–35) cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium with 10% FBS. The human endothelial cell lines HUVEC and HMVEC were cultured in HuMedia-MvG medium with growth supplements (Kurabo, Osaka, Japan).

**Growth of Tumor Cells and Fibroblasts**

Cell growth was measured by the MTT dye reduction method, as described previously.\(^12\) Briefly, MRC-5 or MSTO-211H cells (2 × 10\(^3\)/200 μL per well), which had been plated in triplicate in 96-well plates, were incubated in culture medium for 24 hours, washed and incubated for 72 hours with TSU-68 or NK4 in fresh culture medium containing 5% FBS (for MRC-5) or 2.5% FBS (for MSTO-211H) in the presence or absence of FGF-2, PDGF-AA, HGF, or culture supernatants of MPM cells. A 50-μL aliquot of stock MTT solution (2 mg/mL, Sigma-Aldrich) was added to each well, and the cells were incubated for 2 hours at 37°C. The medium containing the MTT solution was removed, and the dark blue crystals were dissolved by adding 100 μL dimethyl sulfoxide. Absorbance was measured with an MTP-120 multiplate reader (Corona Electric, Hachinohe, Japan) at test and reference wavelengths of 550 and 630 nm, respectively. Percent growth was determined relative to untreated controls. Each experiment was performed at least three times, each with triplicate samples.

**Real-Time RT-PCR**

Total cellular RNA was isolated using an RNeasy mini kit and a RNase-free DNase kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. First-strand cDNAs were synthesized using SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) with oligo(dT)\(_{12-18}\) primers (R&D Systems). The primer sequences were as follows: human HGF, forward primer 5’-TTTACGTGTGGCCGCAGAAG-3’ and reverse primer 5’-GCCTGGCAAGCTTGTTAAA-3’; human GAPDH, forward primer 5’-GAGTCAACGGATTTGTCGT-3’ and re-
verse primer 5'-GACAAGCTCCGTCTCAG-3'. Quantitative PCR was performed on an ABI PRISM 7900HT sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) using Power SYBR Green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems).

Cell Migration Assay

Cell migration assays were performed using the modified Boyden-chamber method,17 with 8-μm pore filters separating the upper and lower Transwell chambers (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ). The cells were serum-starved for 24 hours before the assay. In some experiments, MSTO-211H cells (10³/200 μL 10% FBS RPMI 1640) were added to the upper chambers, and MRC-5 cells (10³/500 μL 10% FBS RPMI 1640), with or without 300 nmol/L NK4, were added to the lower chambers. After 24 hours incubation at 37°C, the cells that had not migrated were removed from the upper surface of the filters with cotton swabs. The cells that had migrated to the lower surface of the filters were fixed in methanol and stained with H&E. Migration was quantified by counting cells in six randomly selected fields on each filter under a microscope at 200 magnification.

Coculture of MPM Cells with Fibroblasts

Cells were cocultured in Transwell chambers separated by 8-μm-pore filters. MSTO-211H cells (8 × 10³/700 μL) were placed in each lower chamber, and fibroblasts (10³/300 μL) were placed in each upper chamber, with or without 300 nmol/L NK4 in the lower chamber or 3 μmol/L TSU-68 in the upper chamber. After 72 hours, the upper chambers were removed, and cell growth was measured with a Cell Counting Kit-8 (Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Rockville, MD). Each experiment was performed at least three times, each with triplicate samples.

Antibodies and Western Blot Analysis

Western blotting was performed as described,18 using anti-MET, anti-phospho-MET, anti-phospho PDGFβRα, anti-phospho-FGFR (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA), and anti-G3PDH (R&D Systems) antibodies. Each experiment was performed in triplicate.

Orthotopic Implantation Model

Cultured MSTO-211H cells were harvested by pipetting, washed twice, and resuspended in PBS, and 1 × 10⁶ cells in 100 μL PBS were injected into the thoracic cavity of each SCID mouse.19 The mice were treated with TSU-68 (200 mg/kg, oral gavage), imatinib (25 or 50 mg/kg, i.p.), or NK4 (3 or 9 mg/kg, i.p.) daily on days 7 to 20. At 3 weeks after tumor cell inoculation, the mice were sacrificed, their thoracic tumors were carefully removed and weighed, and the volume of pleural effusion was measured.

Immunofluorescence Analysis

For bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) staining, the mice were injected with BrdU solution (200 μL, i.p.; BrdU staining kit; Zymed Laboratories, South San Francisco, CA). The mice were sacrificed 2 hours later; thoracic tumors were collected and cut into 5-mm fragments and placed in buffered 10% formalin solution or optimum cutting temperature compound (Bayer, Pittsburgh, PA) and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. The frozen tissue sections (6 μm thick) were assayed for the presence of fibroblasts using mouse anti-α-SMA antibody (1:500; Sigma-Aldrich) or goat anti-type I collagen antibody (1:200; SouthernBiotech, Birmingham, AL) and the proliferating cells were assessed by BrdU staining.

Histology and Immunohistochemistry

Tumor biopsy specimens from MPM patients, obtained from Hyogo Prefectural Amagasaki Hospital, were fixed on formalin, and embedded in paraffin. The study was approved by the institutional review boards of Hyogo Prefectural Amagasaki Hospital and Kanazawa University.

Sections (5 μm thick) were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated in decreasing concentrations of ethanol. The sections were retrieved by microwave treatment for 10 minutes, with immersion in antigen retrieval medium (Imunosaver; Nissin EM, Tokyo, Japan). After blocking endogenous peroxidase activity with 3% aqueous H₂O₂ for 10 minutes, the sections were treated with 5% normal horse serum and subsequently incubated overnight at 4°C with rabbit polyclonal anti-HGF antibody,15 goat anti-PDGF antibody (R&D), mouse anti-FGF-2 antibody (BD Biosciences), and rabbit polyclonal anti-MET antibody (IBL Immuno-Biological Laboratories, Gunma, Japan). After a PBS wash, the sections were incubated with biotin-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG, anti-goat IgG, or anti-mouse IgG (each 1:200) for 30 minutes at room temperature and subsequently with avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex (ABC) using a Vectastain ABC kit (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) for 30 minutes. Immunostaining was detected using 3,3′-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB liquid system; DakoCytomation, Carpinteria, CA). Samples with primary antibodies omitted served as negative controls.

Quantification of Immunohistochemistry and Immunofluorescence

The five areas containing the highest levels of staining within a section were selected for histological quantification under light or fluorescence microscopy at 40× magnification. The results were evaluated by two investigators (Q.L. and H.U.).

Evaluation of Immunohistochemical Results

Immunoreactivities of antibodies to FGF-2, PDGF-AA, HGF, MET, and α-SMA were scored as follows: –, complete absence of staining or staining of >5% of cells; 1+, staining of 5% to 50% of cancer cells; and 2+, staining of...
51% to 100% of cancer cells or interstitial fibroblasts (α-SMA). Immunoreactivity was evaluated independently by two investigators (H.U. and Y.B.), with discrepancies decided by consensus after joint reevaluation.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical significance was determined by t-test or one-way analysis of variance. All statistical analyses were performed using Prism software (version 4.01; GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). All tests were two-sided. *P < 0.05 was considered significant. Correlations between expression of different cytokines in patient specimens were evaluated by Pearson’s correlation analysis. Data are reported as means with 95% confidence intervals.

Results
MSTO-211H Cell-Derived FGF-2 and PDGF-AA Promote Growth of Fibroblasts
Human MPM cells MSTO-211H and EHMES-10 produced different types of thoracic tumors when orthotopically inoculated into the thoracic cavities of SCID mice. EHMES-10 cells produced a few larger tumors, whereas MSTO-211H cells produced many smaller tumors, most with dispersed white nodes of diameter <5 mm. A large number of α-SMA-positive fibroblast-like cells infiltrated the tumors produced by MSTO-211H cells, but not EHMES-10 cells (Figure 1A).

To explore the mechanism of fibroblast infiltration, we assessed the production by MPM cell lines of cytokines associated with fibroblast motility. Assays for production of VEGF, a potent motility factor for endothelial cells, showed that MSTO-211H and Y-Meso-14 cells secreted much lower levels of VEGF than did EHMES-10 cells (Figure 1B), as we have previously reported. In contrast, lysates of MSTO-211H cells showed large amounts of FGF-2 protein, with the supernatants of these cells containing discernible levels of FGF-2, whereas FGF-2 levels in the lysates of Y-Meso-14 cells were relatively low and those in the supernatants were below the limit of detection. In addition, MSTO-211H and Y-Meso-14 cells secreted high concentrations of PDGF-AA (Figure 1B), but not PDGF-AB or PDGF-BB (data not shown).

When we assessed the effects of these cytokines on fibroblasts by using the human fibroblast cell line MRC-5, we found that FGF-2 (*P < 0.001) and/or PDGF-AA (**P < 0.01) significantly stimulated the growth of MRC-5 cells (Figure 1C). TSU-68, which targets the tyrosine kinases of FGFR1, PDGFR, and VEGFR, did not inhibit the baseline growth of MRC-5 cells, but it abrogated the effects of FGF-2 and PDGF-AA. In contrast, TSU-68, as well as exogenously added FGF-2 and PDGF-AA, had no effect on the growth of MSTO-211H cells, indicating that FGF-2 and PDGF-AA are not autocrine growth factors for MSTO-211H cells. The supernatant of MSTO-211H cells stimulated the growth of MRC-5 cells (*P < 0.001), an effect completely abrogated by TSU-68. In addition, FGF-2 and PDGF-AA increased the migration of MRC-5 cells (see Supplemental Figure S1 at http://ajp.amipathol.org). Western blot analysis showed that the supernatants of MSTO-211H cells activated FGFR1 and PDGFRα/β in MRC-5 cells, and that the phosphorylation of these receptors was inhibited by TSU-68 (Figure 1D). Taken together, these results suggest that FGF-2 and PDGF-AA produced by MSTO-211H cells promoted the growth and migration of fibroblasts via the activation of FGFR1 and PDGFRα/β.

FGF-2 and PDGF-AA Enhance HGF Production by Fibroblasts
We next assessed the role of infiltrating fibroblasts in MPM. We hypothesized that one or more cytokines pro-
duced predominantly by fibroblasts might influence MPM behavior. We therefore compared the cytokine production profiles of MRC-5 and MSTO-211H cells using a cytokine antibody array (see Supplemental Figure S2 at http://ajp.amjpathol.org). Whereas both MSTO-211H and MRC-5 cells produced IL-6, IL-8, and the growth-regulated oncogenes IGFBP-1 and MCP-1, only MRC-5 cells produced high levels of hepatocyte growth factor/scatter factor (HGF). We therefore assayed the production of HGF by various cell lines. Although neither the MPM cell lines (MSTO-211H, Y-Meso-14, and EHMES-10) nor the endothelial cell lines (HUVEC and HMVEC) secreted discernible levels of HGF (Figure 2A), MRC-5 and IMR-90 cells and primary cultured patient fibroblasts (PF) produced high levels of HGF, as reported previously.7,20 We also evaluated the effects of FGF-2 and PDGF-AA on fibroblast production of HGF. Both FGF-2 (P < 0.05) and PDGF-AA (P < 0.01) significantly stimulated the growth and motility of Y-Meso-14 cells. In contrast to FGF-2 or PDGF-AA, HGF enhanced neither growth nor motility of EHMES-10 cells. HGF stimulated neither growth nor motility of MSTO-211H cells. The assay was performed in triplicate as described under Materials and Methods.

**Figure 2.** HGF derived from fibroblasts enhances the growth and motility of MPM cells. A: HGF production by MPM (EHMES-10, MSTO-211H), fibroblast (MRC-5 and IMR-90), Primary cultured patient fibroblast (PF), and endothelial (HMVEC) cell lines. Cells (2 × 10^5/2 mL) were cultured for 48 hours in medium alone or in the presence of FGF-2 (20 ng/mL) or PDGF-AA (20 ng/mL); HGF concentrations in culture supernatants were determined by ELISA. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01 versus medium alone. B: FGF-2 and PDGF-AA enhance HGF production by fibroblasts. MRC-5 cells (2 × 10^5/mL) were cultured for 48 hours in the presence of FGF-2 (20 ng/mL) or PDGF-AA (20 ng/mL), and HGF concentrations in culture supernatants were determined by ELISA. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01 versus medium alone. C: FGF-2 and PDGF-AA increase HGF mRNA expression in fibroblasts. HGF mRNA expression by MRC-5 cells pretreated with FGF-2 (20 ng/mL) or PDGF-AA (20 ng/mL) for 48 hours was determined by real-time RT-PCR. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01 versus medium alone. D: HGF increases MPM cell growth. MSTO-211H cells were cultured with 20 ng/mL of HGF, FGF-2, or PDGF-AA in the presence or absence of NK4 (300 nmol/L) for 72 hours, and cell growth was determined by MTT assay. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01 versus medium alone. E: NK4 abrogates MET phosphorylation induced by fibroblast-derived HGF. MSTO-211H cells were treated with or without NK4 (300 nmol/L) in the presence or absence of HGF (20 ng/mL) or the supernatant of MRC-5 cells (10^5/mL) for 48 hours. The cells were lysed and proteins were detected by immunoblotting. The lower band (pl45 MET) corresponds to the mature form of MET, the upper band (pl70 MET) corresponds to the immature MET precursor. F: HGF induces the migration of MSTO-211H cells. The assay was performed in triplicate as described under Materials and Methods. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01 versus medium alone.

**HGF Derived from Fibroblasts Enhances the Growth and Motility of MPM Cells**

To clarify the role of HGF, which can be produced by fibroblasts, on the biological behavior of MPM cells, we assessed the effect of HGF on the growth of MSTO-211H cells. In contrast to FGF-2 or PDGF-AA, HGF enhanced the growth of MSTO-211H cells, an effect inhibited by anti-HGF antibody (Figure 2D). More importantly, the HGF antagonist NK4 abrogated the HGF-stimulated growth of MSTO-211H cells, as well as slightly inhibiting the constitutive growth of MSTO-211H cells (Figure 2D). Furthermore, the supernatant of MRC-5 cells, as well as HGF, stimulated the phosphorylation of MET, the specific receptor of HGF, in MSTO-211H cells, an effect inhibited by NK4 (Figure 2E). We therefore evaluated the effect of fibroblasts on the motility of MSTO-211H cells. HGF dramatically enhanced the motility of MSTO-211H cells, an effect blocked by NK4 (Figure 2F). In addition, HGF significantly stimulated the growth and motility of Y-Meso-14 cells, and these effects were blocked by NK4. In contrast, HGF stimulated neither growth nor motility of EHMES-10 cells (see Supplemental Figure S4 at http://ajp.amjpathol.org). These results indicate that fibroblast-derived HGF promotes the growth and motility of MSTO-211H and Y-Meso14 cells.

**Simultaneous Blockade of FGFR1 and PDGFRs Inhibits Growth of MPM Cells in a Coculture System**

Our findings suggested the presence of a malignant cytokine network (malignant crosstalk) involving MPM cells and fibroblasts (Figure 3A). We therefore used a coculture system to determine whether such a malignant network exists between MPM and fibroblast cells. Cocultured MRC-5 cells stimulated the growth of MSTO-211H cells; an effect blocked by both TSU-68 and the combination of anti-FGF-2 and anti-PDGF neutralizing antibodies (Figure 3B). This growth was also abrogated by either...
anti-HGF antibody or NK4 (Figure 3C). Moreover, cocultured MRC-5 cells markedly induced the migration of MSTO-211H cells, an effect also inhibited by either anti-HGF antibody or NK4 (Figure 3D). Consistent with these observations, coculture with MRC-5 cells stimulated the growth of Y-Meso-14 cells, and this effect was blocked by TSU-68, NK4, or anti-HGF antibody. Either anti-HGF antibody or NK4 inhibited the migration of Y-Meso-14 cells induced by coculture with MRC-5 cells (see Supplemental Figure S5 at http://ajp.amjpathol.org). These findings clearly point to the existence of a malignant cytokine network between MPM cells and fibroblasts in vitro.

Malignant Cytokine Network between MPM Cells and Patient Fibroblasts or Mouse Fibroblasts

To assess whether this malignant cytokine network is unique to MPM cells and MRC-5 human fibroblast cell lines, we cocultured MSTO-211H cells with either primary cultured patient fibroblasts or the mouse fibroblast cell line 3T3-Swiss. Similar to the effect on MRC-5 cells, the patient fibroblasts induced the migration of both MSTO-211H and Y-Meso-14 cells, and anti-HGF antibody or NK4 inhibited the migration induced by the patient fibroblasts (Figure 4A). Cocultured patient fibroblasts also stimulated growth of MSTO-211H and Y-Meso-14 cells. These effects were inhibited by TSU-68, NK4, or anti-HGF antibody (Figure 4, B and C). These results indicate that the cytokine network is not specific to the MRC-5 fibroblast cell line.

Moreover, similar to its effect on human fibroblasts, TSU-68 did not inhibit the growth of 3T3-Swiss cells. PDGF-AA, but not FGF-2, significantly up-regulated the growth of 3T3-Swiss cells (P < 0.05), an effect blocked by TSU-68 (Figure 4D). Both exogenous mouse HGF and the supernatant of 3T3-Swiss cells induced MET phosphorylation of MSTO-211H cells, effects abrogated by NK4 (Figure 4E), indicating that mouse HGF can activate human MPM cells. These results were consistent with previous findings showing that the activity of HGF is not always species-specific.21 Although mouse HGF did not promote the growth of MPM cells (data not shown), 3T3-Swiss cells induced their migration, an effect inhibited by NK4 (Figure 4F). These findings suggest that MPM cells also stimulate mouse fibroblasts to promote the progression of MPM cells.

Presence of Malignant Cytokine Network between MPM and Microenvironment in Vivo

We next examined whether the malignant cytokine network could promote the progression of MPM cells in vivo. To assess this possibility, MSTO-211H or Y-Meso-14 cells were inoculated orthotopically into the thoracic cavities of SCID mice and the mice were treated with TSU-68 (an inhibitor of FGFR1 and PDGFRs), imatinib (an inhibitor of PDGFRs), or NK4 (an inhibitor of HGF/MET). MSTO-211H cells produced thoracic tumors and a small volume of pleural effusion, whereas Y-Meso-14 cells produced thoracic tumors and large volumes of pleural effusion. We previously reported that treatment of these mice with the anti-VEGF antibody bevacizumab did not significantly inhibit the progression of MSTO-211H cells, suggesting that VEGF is not primarily responsible for progression in an MSTO-211H mouse model.12 Although tumor concentrations of VEGF, PDGF-AA, and FGF-2 were not altered by either TSU-68 or imatinib (data not shown), both treatments significantly inhibited the growth of thoracic tumors (Table 1, experiments 1, 2, and 4; Figure 5A). Furthermore, either TSU-68 or imatinib significantly inhibited the production of pleural effusion by Y-Meso-14 cells (Table 1,
The coculture system. MSTO-211H ( ) cells were cocultured with Y-Meso-14 ( ) cells. Anti-HGF antibody blocked the growth of MSTO-211H cells induced by PF in MPM cells, thereby inhibited the growth of MPM tumors. These results strongly suggest that MPM cells stimulate fibroblasts to promote progression in vivo via a malignant cytokine network consisting of FGF-2, PDGF-AA, and HGF.

**High Immunoreactivity for FGF-2, PDGF-AA, and HGF in Tumors from MPM Patients**

To assess the clinical relevance of immunoreactivity for FGF-2, PDGF-AA, and HGF, we examined whether these cytokines could be detected in clinical specimens obtained from MPM patients. We analyzed 51 tumors from 51 MPM patients (Table 2; see also Supplemental Figure S6 at http://ajp.amjpathol.org). We found that 46 (90%), 40 (78%), and 50 (98%) tumors showed positive staining for FGF-2, PDGF-AA, and HGF, respectively. Moreover, all 51 (100%) tumors were positive for MET, including 47 (92%) showing high positivity ( ), indicating the importance of expression of the MET ligand (HGF) for controlling HGF-induced biological activity in MPM. Notably, of the 34 tumors highly positive ( ) for HGF, 20 (59%) were also highly positive ( ) for FGF-2 and/or PDGF-AA. Furthermore, tumors from three patients (3/51, or 9%) were highly positive ( ) for all three. Statistical correlation analysis showed that FGF-2 and PDGF-AA expression were correlated ( ), suggesting that these two cytokines are frequently expressed coordinately in MPMs, as in MSTO-211H cells.

Of the 51 tumors, 27 (53%) were highly positive ( ) for α-SMA. Of these 27 tumors, 17 (63%), 5 (19%), and 17 (63%) were highly positive ( ) for FGF-2, PDGF-AA, and HGF, respectively. Moreover, 10 of the 17 (59%) HGF-positive tumors were also positive for FGF-2 and/or PDGF-AA. Taken together, these results strongly suggest that a local cytokine network between mesothelioma cells and fibroblasts is involved in the progression of a considerable proportion of MPM tumors.

**Discussion**

The tumor microenvironment has been the subject of intensive investigation and has been shown to facilitate tumor growth and distant metastasis. For example, in breast and colon cancer models, the primary tumors have been reported to activate bone marrow in their systemic environment and to instigate the growth of indolent distant tumors, a process called systemic instigation. In contrast, MPM is a locally aggressive disease with intrathoracic dissemination, and rarely causes distant metastases except at end stages. We have shown here that local instigation in MPM is mediated by malig-
nant cytokine network involving MPM and TAFs. MPM cells produce FGF-2 and PDGF-AA, which stimulate the growth and/or motility of fibroblasts and up-regulate their production of HGF. Fibroblast-derived HGF, in turn, stimulates the growth and/or motility of MPM cells. Importantly, the infiltration of TAFs and the simultaneous expression of three cytokines (FGF-2, PDGF-AA, and HGF) were detected in clinical specimens obtained from patients with MPM. These results indicate that MPMs recruit and activate TAFs by secreting FGF-2 and PDGF-AA, and that activated TAFs produce HGF, which promotes the dissemination of MPM in the thoracic cavity. These three cytokines may therefore be therapeutic targets in the treatment of MPM.

Table 1. Therapeutic Efficacy of TSU-68, Imatinib, and NK4 on Production of Thoracic Tumor and Pleural Effusion Produced by MSTO-211H and Y-MESO-14 Cells in SCID Mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment†‡</th>
<th>Dose (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Thoracic tumor</th>
<th>Pleural effusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Weight (mg)</td>
<td>Incidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSTO-211H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (CMC)</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>132–773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSU-68†</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>164**</td>
<td>29–254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (saline)</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>94–327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imatinib</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>83*</td>
<td>0–155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imatinib</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>76*</td>
<td>0–143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (saline)</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>164–437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NK4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>0–448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NK4‡</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>40*</td>
<td>0–276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-Meso-14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (saline)</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>270–370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSU-68</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>140**</td>
<td>130–160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imatinib</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>60**</td>
<td>10–150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NK4‡</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>140**</td>
<td>0–230</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01, versus control group (two-tailed nonparametric t-test).
†MSTO-211H cells (1 × 10⁶) or Y-Meso-14 cells (1 × 10⁶) were inoculated into thoracic cavity of SCID mice on day 0. Mice were treated daily on days 7 to 20 (MSTO-211H) or days 7 to 27 (Y-Meso-14). All mice were sacrificed on day 21 (MSTO-211H) or day 28 (Y-Meso-14).
‡Route of administration: oral gavage for TSU-68 and intraperitoneal for imatinib and NK4.
CMC, carboxymethylcellulose-based vehicle solution.

Figure 5. TSU-68, imatinib, and NK4 inhibit the production of thoracic tumors by MPM cells in an orthotopically implanted SCID mouse model. A: Macroscopic appearance of thoracic tumors caused by MSTO-211H cells (1 × 10⁶) or Y-Meso-14 cells (1 × 10⁶) treated with TSU-68, imatinib, or NK4. B: Histological analysis of the same tumors. The thoracic tumors were analyzed by immunohistochemistry (type I collagen and BrdU) and immunofluorescence (α-SMA and double staining of BrdU and type I collagen). In double staining, red fluorescence indicates BrdU and green fluorescence indicates type I collagen; arrows mark double-positive cells (proliferating fibroblasts). Scale bars = 50 μm. The corresponding graphs show the mean number of positive cells per high-power field (HPF), from five independent areas. *P < 0.01 versus control. Negative controls without primary antibodies did not show discernible staining for α-SMA, BrdU, or type I collagen (data not shown).
All three cytokines (FGF-2, PDGF-AA, and HGF) are ligands of receptor tyrosine kinases (FGFR1, PDGFRα, and MET, respectively). Several studies have suggested that FGF-2 and PDGF-AA are involved in the pathogenesis of MPM. Moreover, significant concentrations of HGF have been detected in pleural effusions obtained from patients with MPM. Although FGF-2 and PDGFs have been reported to be growth factors for several MPM cell lines, neither FGF-2 nor PDGF-AA stimulated the growth of MPM cells. However, mouse HGF stimulated motility, but the growth of MSTO-211H and Y-Meso-14 cells, consistent with prior observations. Burgess et al. showed that mouse HGF does not potently activate human MET signaling; however, Previdi et al. recently demonstrated that mouse HGF activated MET in human breast cancer cells. Taken together with our results, these findings suggest that i) MET signaling induced by mouse HGF is not as strong as that induced by human HGF, ii) MET signaling induced by mouse HGF is sufficient to stimulate the motility of human MPM cells, and iii) stronger MET signaling is required to stimulate the growth of human MPM cells. Although mouse HGF stimulated only motility, suppression of invasive growth by blockade of HGF/MET signaling had therapeutic value, because MPM is an aggressive neoplasm characterized by extensive invasive growth.

Although human FGF-2 stimulated the growth of human fibroblasts, it did not stimulate the growth of mouse fibroblasts. Under the same experimental conditions, human PDGF-AA stimulated the growth of both human and mouse fibroblasts. Moreover, imatinib, which inhibits PDGFR signaling, markedly inhibited the progression of MSTO-211H and Y-Meso-14 cells in an orthotopic implantation model that mimics the MPM microenvironment in humans. Clinical trials of imatinib monotherapy in MPM have shown limited efficacy, suggesting that, although the PDGF/PDGFRs axis is the signaling pathway responsible for fibroblast motility and growth in mice, the pathway in humans also involves the FGF-2/FGFR1 axis, which acts redundantly with the PDGF/PDGFR axis. Under these conditions, a multiple kinase inhibitor such as TSU-68 may control fibroblast motility and growth in humans. Further understanding of the crosstalk between tumors and their microenvironments, including TAFs, may lead to development of more effective therapeutic modalities.

The microenvironment of MPM consists of various components, including endothelial cells, fibroblasts, immune cells, mesothelial cells, and extracellular matrix. In MPMs producing large quantities of VEGF, the interaction with endothelial cells seems to be particularly important. VEGF produced by MPM cells activates endothelial cells and induces angiogenesis, promoting tumor progression. In addition, VEGF activates endothelial cells and induces hypervascular permeability to produce pleural effusion. Therapy targeting VEGF/endothelial cells may therefore be effective for MPM cells that produce large quantities of VEGF. The results presented here suggest that therapy targeting TAFs and related molecules may be warranted for MPM with low VEGF expression and abundant TAFs, as in MSTO-211H cells.

The cytokine antibody array that we used is designed to scan for many cytokines at one time, but the array does not scan for many cytokines at one time, but the array does
not include PDGF-AA (see Supplemental Figure S2 at http://ajp.amjpathol.org); instead, the array detects PDGF-BB. However, we could not detect PDGF-BB in the supernatant of MSTD-211H cells when quantified by ELISA. Instead, a high level of PDGF-AA was detected by ELISA. This mismatch between cytokine antibody array and ELISA may have been due to the relatively lower specificity of the cytokine antibody array. In addition to HGF, low concentrations of CXCL5 have been observed in the supernatants of MRC-5 but not MSTD-211H cells. CXCL5 (also known as epithelial-derived neutrophil-activating peptide 78, or ENA-78) was reported to be produced also by white blood cells, such as neutrophils and eosinophils, suggesting that CXCL5 may not be a specific fibroblast-derived cytokine that influences MPM function. Because the main issue under investigation in the present study was the interaction between MPM cells and fibroblasts, and the CXCL5 concentration in MRC-5 cells was much lower than that of HGF, we concentrated on HGF, which is produced abundantly by fibroblasts.

MPM is histologically classified into three types: epithelial, biphasic, and sarcomatous. Both cell lines used in the present study, MSTD-211H and EHMES-10, were established from patients with biphasic mesothelioma. However, these two cell lines show quite different characteristics, including different patterns of cytokine production and their content of TAFs in orthotopically implanted tumors. In addition, histological analyses of clinical specimens showed that the amounts of TAFs did not correlate with MPM histological type. These findings suggest that histological differentiation and TAF accumulation in MPM may be regulated by different molecular mechanisms. We also detected simultaneous expression of FGF-2, PDGF-AA, and HGF in mesotheliomas with low numbers of TAFs, suggesting the need to analyze the role of these molecules in MPM tumors.

We have shown here that a malignant cytokine network between malignant pleural mesothelioma and tumor-associated fibroblasts, mediated through FGF-2, PDGF-AA, and HGF, exists in a population of MPM patients. Therefore, simultaneous inhibition of these three molecules may be clinically beneficial for patients with these tumors. Multiple targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitors may be appropriate for clinical trials of MPM patients, and treatments targeting the tumor microenvironment may also be beneficial in MPM.
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